



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/381,143 | 10/22/1999 | IAN CHARLES OGILVY | CU-2003 | 3561 | |
| 7 | 590 07/28/2003 . | • | | | |
| JOHN J CHRYSTAL LADAS & PARRY 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | NGUYEN, PHUOC H | | | |
| CHICAGO, IL | 60604 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2143 | 16 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003 | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | | pre | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | OGILVY, IAN CHARLES | | | | |
| | Art Unit | | | | |
| n | 2143 | | | | |
| sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| ent of this applicamendment whi | ON FOR ALLOWAN cation. A proper re- ch places the appli- ely filed Request fo | ply to a cation in | | | |
| er a) or b)] | | | | | |
| e date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no multiple the mailing date of the final rejection. DIMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | | |
| on under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee anding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under eply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | | | |
| filed within the payold dismissal | period set forth in of the appeal. | | | | |
| and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | |
| r appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | |
| ding number of | finally rejected claii | ms. | | | |
| submitted in a s | eparate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| | | | | | |
| n has been cons | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | | |
| rected SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | |
| |)∏ will be entered ow or appended. | and an | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Advisory Action

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | 09/381,143 | OGILVY, IAN CHARLES | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Phuoc H. Nguyen | 2143 | | |
| | | | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover

THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonme final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed a condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal for

| Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|--|
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. |
| Claim(s) objected to: None. |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 16-21</u> . |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 10. Other: |
| |
| |
| |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The scopes claims 1,16, and 18 have been changed that would require further consideration and/ or search.

DAVID WHLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100